

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL, CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION LODGING NO.2932 OF 2006

Swayam Siddhi Mitra Sangh..Petitioners

Vs.

The University of Mumbai & Ors..Respondents

Mr. A.L. Gore i/b. C.J. Abhyankar, for petitioners

Mr. R.A. Rodrigues, for respondents.

**CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.
DATE: 22ND DECEMBER, 2006**

P.C.

. Rule. Heard forthwith. The University as a condition precedent to permit the students to appear for the M.M.S. Part I examination called on the College to deposit a sum of Rs.10.00 lakhs. That the College has not done and has approached the Court. In the meantime the examinations are scheduled for 23rd November, 2006. The College had forwarded the P.G. registration forms to the University, but the college was informed by communication dated 4th October, 2006 that the amount had to be deposited. The College appears to have made one more representation on 2nd November, 2006. The P.G. registration forms were not granted by the University.

. In a similar circumstance we have passed an order in Writ Petition Lodging No.2621 of 2006 on 21st December, 2006. In the light of that we propose to dispose of the petition by issuing the following

directions:-

(i) The University on a copy of this order being produced to forthwith accept the P.G. Registration forms and allow the students in respect of whom the registration forms have been submitted to appear for the examination to be held on 24th December, 2006

without insisting on the demand of Rs.10.00 lakhs.

(ii) As the University has formed a Committee to frame the guide-lines for payment, the College will be bound by the guide-lines framed by the Committee and the amount demanded by the University in terms of those guide-lines. Considering that, University not

to insist the sum of Rs.10.00 lakhs.

(iii) As and when the guide-lines are finalised, the University to make a fresh demand on the college. The college then to pay the said amount as demanded by the University subject to whatever remedy they may

have at law.

(iv) The affiliation will be subject to payment of such demand.

(v) Rule made absolute accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

(F.I. REBELLO, J)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA J).